

A Holistic Approach to Risk Management

Dono Tong & Jeff Chan



Business Today & Tomorrow
Evolution of Risk Management Process
Risk Management in MTR



Business in the 21st Century

Changing Business Environment in HK

Metro

Property

Cable Car

Subsidiaries

Customers









railsourcingsolutions



Economy

Jan02

Politics

















10000

Business in the 21st Century

Growth & New Business outside HK





Risk Based Safety Management System

Define what is safe and unsafe by risk level

- Prioritize the effort/resources to be spent on "unsafe" item according to its risk level
- Higher the risk more resource/effort should be spent and quicker should the risk be remove or reduced



Evolution of Safety Management



Benefits of Risk Based System

- Focus and prioritization on high risk items
- Ensure <u>cost effectiveness</u> in further safety improvement (by reducing risk)
- It can be built-on existing safety management system without abortive effort
- Progressive improvement possible by modular package and regular review of targets



Key Processes of Risk Management



X

Risk Quantification

Definition of "risk"

(According to the Advanced Learner's Oxford Dictionary - 6th edition 2004)

- The <u>possibility</u> of something bad happening at some time in the future
- A situation that could be dangerous or have a bad <u>result</u>



Typical Risk Management Approach

Risk Assessment

- **Identify hazards**
- Group hazards according to similar initiating events
- **Fault tree analysis to determine initiating frequency**
- Event tree analysis to determine severity of consequences and their likelihood
- Summation of potential injury and fatality <u>F/N Curve</u>
- Sensitivity and Importance Analysis to identify major risk contributor
- Development improvement actions
- Re-run risk model with improvement actions to give new results



Risk Control







Downsides as a Risk Control Tool

- Large amount of effort on risk model construction, data gathering and analysis
- Dedicated specialist team
- Time consuming
- Only provides a snap shot of risk at the time
- Expensive to have a "live" risk model



Alternative Approach - Control Risk at Root Cause

- Identify hazards and control the risk at root causes
- Rank hazards according to a risk matrix
 - R1 Unacceptable
 - R2 Undesirable
 - R3 Tolerable
 - R4 Acceptable
- Control the risk of each hazard by reducing R1/R2 hazards to R3/R4
- Measure and monitor the number of hazards in each rank
- Measure the aggregated risk level of all hazards
- Can be monitored by function, equipment & facilities or by division, department & section.



Qualitative Risk Rating of Hazards

			Consequ	uence							
		Minimal	Low	Medium	High						
Likelihood	High	R3	R2	R1	R1						
	Medium	R3	R3	R2	R1						
	Low	R4 R3 R3									
	Minimal	R4 R4 R3 R3									

- **R1** Unacceptable, risk must be reduced save in exceptional circumstances.
- R2 Undesirable, risk must be reduced if it is reasonably practicable to do so.
- R3 Tolerable, risk is tolerable but should be further reduced if it is cost effective to do so.
- R4 Acceptable, risk is acceptable and no specific action is needed.



Risk Rating of Hazard Using a Qualitative Risk Matrix

			Consequ	uence					
	Minimal Low Medium High								
Likelihood	High	R3	R2	R1	R1				
	Medium	R3	R3	R2	R1				
	Low	R4	R3	R3	R2				
	Minimal	R4	R4	R3	R3				



Risk Ref. No.	Risk Category	Description of hazard / event	Cause	Conseq	Original Likelihoo d	Original Conseq	Initial Risk Rating
RS-01	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	ATO failed to demand braking	Collision with train in front	Low	High	R2
RS-02	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	Brake equipment failure	Collision with train in front			

Quantitative Risk Ranking of Hazards

						C	ONSEQUENC	E		
				7	6	5	4	3	2	1
				Trivial	Negligible	Marginal	Serious	Critical	Catastrophic	Disastrous
		Fatality						<5	5 or more	
	Staff/Contractor Safety	Major Injury					<5	5 or more		
	Stan Contractor Safety	Minor Injury with	$a \ge 3$ days sick leave			<5	5 or more			
		with	1 < 3 days sick leave		<5	5 or more				
		Fatality						<5	5-50	51-500
	Passenger/Public Safety	Major Injury					<5	5-50	51-500	501 - 5000
		Minor Injury				<5	5-50	51-500	501 - 5000	>5000
		System Disruption				<20 min	1 hour	1 day	1 week	1 month
	Service	Line Disruption			20-60min	few hours	1 day	1 week	1 month	few months
		Station Disruption		<20min	few hours	1 day	1 week	1 month	few months	1 year
A	Few times per week or more	≥ 100 /year		R3	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
в	Few times per month	≥ 10 - <100 /year		R4	R2	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
С	Few times per year	\geq 1 - <10 /year		R4	R2	R2	R1	R1	R1	R1
D	Few times in 10 years	≥ 0.1 - <1 /year		R4	R3	R2	R1	R1	R1	R1
E	Once since operation	≥ 1E-2 - <1E-1 /ye	ear	R4	R3	R3	R2	R1	R1	R1
F	Unlikely to occur	≥ 1E-3 - <1E-2 /ye	ear	R4	R4	R3	R3	R2	R1	R1
G	Very unlikely to occur	≥ 1E-4 - <1E-3 /ye	ear	R4	R4	R4	R3	R3	R2	R1
н	Remote	≥ 1E-5 - <1E-4 /ye	ear	R4	R4	R4	R4	R3	R3	R2
I	Improbable	≥ 1E-6 - <1E-5 /ye	ear	R4	R4	R4	R4	R4	R3	R3
J	Incredible	< 1E-6 /year		R4	R4	R4	R4	R4	R4	R3

Risk Rating of Hazard Using a Quantitative Risk Matrix

Quantitative risk can be inferred if a quantitative risk matrix is used

Risk Ref. No.	Risk Category	Description of hazard / event	Cause	Conseq	Original Likelihoo d	Original Conseq	Initial Risk Rating	F1/yr
RS-01	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	ATO failed to demand braking	Collision with train in front	Low	High	R2	
RS-02	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	Brake equipment failure	Collision with train in front				



Risk **Risk** Description Cause Original Original Conseq Initial F1/yr Hazard Likelihoo of hazard / Conseq Risk Controller Ref. Category No. Rating event d Sig & RS-01 ATO failed Rolling Bake Failure Collision R2 Low High Stock to demand with train in Telecom braking front Mgr RS-02 Rolling Bake Failure Collision RS Brake Stock with train in Manager equipment failure front Track PW-01 Tunnel **TU-01**



Risk Ref. No.	Risk Category	Description of hazard / event	Cause	Conseq	Original Likelihoo d	Original Conseq	Initial Risk Rating	F1/yr	Safeguards	Residual Likelihoo d	Residual Conseq	Residual Risk Rating	F2/Yr
							20	1					
RS-01	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	A I O failed to demand braking	Collision with train in front	Low	High	R2		ATP & manual braking	Minimal	Medium	R3	
RS-02	Rolling Stock	Bake Failure	Brake equipment failure	Collision with train in front									
PW-01	Track												
TU-01	Tunnel												
10.01													
		T	T	T					T				
			<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>									

Cost per Statistical Life Saved (CpSLS)

 Cost of risk reduction is evaluated using the Cost per Statistical Life Saved (CpSLS)

> <u>Cost</u> Reduction in Fatality < CpSLS

If the CpSLS is lower than a pre-determined figure, the cost is deemed not grossly disproportional to the risk.

Otherwise, a more cost effective solution is needed.



Cost Benefit Analysis

Cost per Statistical Life Saved (CpSLS)

Description of hazard / event	Cause	Conseq	Original Likelihoo d	Original Conseq	Initial Risk Rating	F1/yr	Hazard Controller	Safeguards	Residual Likelihoo d	Residual Conseq	Residual Risk Rating	F2/Yr	Risk Reduction	Cost of Remedial Action	CpSLS
Bake Failure	ATO failed to demand braking	Collision with train in front	Low	High	R2		Sig & Telecom Mgr	ATP & manual braking	Minimal	Medium	R3				
Bake Failure	Brake equipment failure	Collision with train in front					RS Manager								
	1														
															
															łł
															┢───┤

MTR Cor	i] poration	Hazard Registration System English X12 M4
Cha	nge Password Ho	me Logout Reference Documents CBT Spell Check Help About Us
	HR - Update	
Hazard Register	Hazard ID:	00032284 Search
Committee	Original Hazard ID:	Date: 09/11/2005 Level: 3
Discussion Log	MainInfo	Safeguard Process&Status Project ProjectAudit
Register	Hazard Description:	Research an execution deleved due to reduced ourshop of their deep in 🔤 🔄
C&R Works		*
Proposal		Current/Original Residual
	Frequency:	* H. Remote H. Remote
Report	Severity:	* 3. Critical 3. Critical
Code Maintenance	Risk Index :	* R3 CDL-ADD R3
C&D Project	RCPI:	0 Committee Response: * Endorsed 🗸
Hazard Log	Potential Cause:	* TOL train constitution than AEL train, however, only 8 train doorer
Item	Effect/ Consequence:	1. Delay detrainment in emergency / non-emergency situation. *
	Lead Hazard Controller:	AWE Applicable To:* DRL H&S
	Work Activity:	LAR TKL
		URL
		* Safety On Passenger 🗹 Staff 🗹 Contractor 🔄 Public 🔄
	Susceptible Group:	Service Disruption V
	System	
	System:	* RS - LAR EMU
	Subsystem:	* ELECTRIC MULTIPLE UNIT
	Sys Operating Mode:	* Normal or Emergency V Date Of Registration: * 09/11/2005

A Holistic Risk Control System



Safety & Service Risk Management

					c	ONSEQUENC	E		
			7	6	5	4	3	2	1
			Trivial	Negligible	Marginal	Serious	Critical	Catastrophic	Disastrous
		Fatality					<5	5 or more	
	Staff/Contractor Sa	Major Injury				<5	5 or more		
Staff/Contractor Safety		Minor Injury with ≥ 3 days sick leave			<5	5 or more			
		with < 3 days sick leave		<5	5 or more				
		Fatality					<5	5-50	51-500
Passenger/Public Safety	Passenger/Public Sa	Yety Major Injury				<5	5-50	51-500	501 - 5000
		Minor Injury			<5	5-50	51-500	501 - 5000	>5000
		System Disruption			<20 min	1 hour	1 day	1 week	1 month
Service Service	Service	Line Disruption		20-60min	few hours	1 day	1 week	1 month	few months
		Station Disruption	<20min	few hours	1 day	1 week	1 month	few months	1 year
	A Few times per week	r more ≥ 100 /year		100	1,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000	10,000,000
	B Few times per month	≥ 10 - <100 /year		10	100	1,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
	F C Few times per year	≥ 1 - <10 /year		1	10	100	1,000	10,000	100,000
	E D Few times in 10 year	≥ 0.1 - <1 /year			1	10	100	1,000	10,000
	Q E Once since operation	≥ 1E-2 - <1E-1 /year				1	10	100	1,000
	E F Unlikely to occur	≥ 1E-3 - <1E-2 /year					1	10	100
	G Very unlikely to occu	≥ 1E-4 - <1E-3 /year						1	10
	Y H Remote	≥ 1E-5 - <1E-4 /year							1
	I Improbable	≥ 1E-6 - <1E-5 /year							
	J Incredible	< 1E-6 /year							
		Legends:	R1	R2	R3	R4			

R1	Risk must be reduced save in exceptional circumstances
R2	Risk must be reduced if it is reasonable practicable to do so
R3	Risk is tolerable but should be further reduced if it is cost effective to do so
R4	Risk is acceptable



Security Risk Management

					Consequences		
				Relatively unimportant	Moderately serious	Very serious	Extreme
			System				Auy
	Service Disruption	Service	Line			20 – 60 mins	Hours
		distuption	Station		20 – 60 mins	Hours	l day
	Corporate Assets	Loss of Corpo assets	ration	<\$100,000	\$100,000 - 1,000,000	\$1,000,000 - 10,000,000	\$10,000,000+
C	riminal Imprisonment	Normal senter imposed to of committing cr against passer / third party / Corporation	ace fenders imes ager / staff	≤ 5 years imprisonment	> 5 to ≤ 10 years imprisonment	> 10 to ≤ 20 years imprisonment	> 20 years imprisonment
SeR1 –	Business Impoct	Non-financial	impact	Minor degradation of service, impact limited to a single area of the business, management intervention required	Significant degradation of service, impact to multiple areas of business, can be managed with significant management intervention	Major degradation of service, impact to widespread areas of the business, would not threaten viability but would require significant mobilisation of resources and significant management intervention	Threatens long-term viability of the business
SeR2 –	Risk must be reduced if it is				Likelihood		
	able o do	Very high – every week (>	50/yr)	SeR2	SeR2	SeR1	SeRl
SeR3 –	Rick is tolerable but should be	High – every month (11 - 50/yr)		SeR3	SeR2	SeR2	SeR1
	effective to do so	Medium – every year (1 - 10/yr)		SeR4	SeR3	SeR2	SeR2
SeR4 –	Risk is acceptable	Low – less than year	ly (<1/yr)	SeR4	SeR4	SeR3	SeR2



Project Risk Register Preparation / Updating during project life cycle



Project Risk Categories

- Health, safety & environment
- Business disruption
- Business viability
- Project complexity
- Cost Overrun
- Programme Delay
- Political / Public / Media Pressure
- Technical Difficulty
- Meeting Customer Expectation
- Recovery / Crisis Management



Project Risk Matrix

			Consequ	uence							
		Minimal	Low	Medium	High						
Likelihood	High	P3	P2	P1	P1						
	Medium	P3	P3	P2	P1						
	Low	P4	P3	P3	P2						
	Minimal	P4 P4 P3 P3									

- P1 Unacceptable
- P2 Undesirable and requires contingency measures and continuous monitoring
- P3 Tolerable
- P4 Acceptable



Project Risk Register

Risk ref no.	Timeline (Closed/ current/ future)	Description of risk / event	Cause	Consequence	Relevant risk categories (Exhibit 7.3)	Likelihood of event happening	Consequence / Impact of event	Initial risk rating	Risk mitigation method	Action Owner	Residual Likelihood	Residual Consequence	Residual nisk nating	Status of risk mitigation completion
01	Current	Operating railway tunnel flotation	Changes in water table, removal of top soil above tunnel, flooding of excavation work site	Major operating railway service disruption	Business Disruption, Recovery/ Crisis Management	High	High	P1	Install system to monitor tunnel movement	SConE- Civil	Low	High	P2	Monitoring system installed since 1 Jul 03 and will continue until 30 Sep 03 (Note: Risk is registered in Ops Division HRS as R3 service risk)
02	Future	Delayin essential material delivery	Special shipment from overseas	Programme delay	Programme Delay	Medium	High	P2	Early batch order	SconE- Civil	Low	High	P3	Batch order issued on 12 Aug 03



Environmental Risk Management

Environmental areas of concern

- Noise
- Water
- Air
- Land
- Waste
- Resources
- Vibration
- Habitat
- Landscape & Visual



Environmental Risk Management

Environmental Risk Severity Definition

	Severity	4	3	2	1
Area of Imj	pact	Negligible	Marginal	Serious	Critical
1	Noise ⁽¹⁾	 Operational noise generated but will not affect public, OR Operational noise generated during 0700-2300Hrs that will affect public but will not cause complaint, OR Construction noise generated outside restricted hours⁽²⁾ but will not seriously affect public 	 Operational noise generated during 0700-2300Hrs that will affect public and will likely cause complaint, OR Operational noise generated during 2300-0700Hrs that will affect public but will unlikely cause complaint, OR Construction noise generated outside restricted hours⁽²⁾ that will affect public but will unlikely cause complaint 	 Operational noise generated during 2300-0700Hrs that will affect public and most likely will cause complaint, OR Construction noise generated outside restricted hours⁽²⁾ that will affect public and most likely will cause complaint 	 Operational noise generated that will affect public and possibly lead to legal action OR Construction noise generated during restricted hours⁽²⁾
•2	•Water	•Wastewater ⁽³⁾ generated but the amount is insignificant and is most likely uncontaminated	-	-	•Wastewater ⁽³⁾ generated and the discharge may be contaminated
3	Air	•Minor air pollutant ⁽⁴⁾ is generated but will not affect public and passenger	 Air pollutant⁽⁴⁾ is generated that will affect passenger but not public, OR Air pollutant is generated that may affect public but will unlikely cause complaints or concerns 	•Air pollutant ⁽⁴⁾ is generated that will affect public, and may lead to complaints or concerns	•Air pollutant ⁽⁴⁾ is generated that will affect public and/or passenger, and may lead to legal action
4	Land	•Insignificant land contamination	•Small or medium scale land contamination	•Large scale land contamination but recoverable	•Large scale land contamination but not recoverable
5	Waste ⁽⁵⁾	•Generate small amount of trade waste	•Generate medium amount of trade waste	•Generate large amount of trade waste	•Generate huge amount of trade waste •Generate chemical waste
•6	•Resources ⁽⁶⁾	•Consume small amount of resources	•Consume medium amount of resources	•Consume large amount of resources	•Consume huge amount of resources
•7	•Vibration	•Vibration outside restricted hours ⁽²⁾ which will not seriously affect public	•Vibration outside restricted hours ⁽²⁾ which will unlikely cause complaints	•Vibration outside restricted hours ⁽²⁾ which may cause complaints	•Vibration in restricted hours ⁽²⁾
•8	•Habitat	•Degradation of small area of habitat of low ecological interest	•Degradation of small to medium area of habitat of low ecological interest	•Degradation of medium area of habitat of low ecological interest	 Degradation of any habitat of medium or high ecological interest, OR Degradation of large area of low ecological interest
•9	•Landscape and Visual	•Small scale landscape and visual impacts	•Medium scale landscape and visual impacts	•Large scale landscape and visual impacts	•Huge landscape and visual impacts

Environmental Risk Management

Environmental Risk Matrix

Severity (Scale)							3	2	1
Frequency (No. per year)							Marginal	Serious	Critical
N / S			A / E						
A 1	Few times per week or more	w times per ek or more ≥ 100 A Few times per month or more ≥ 10		ER3	ER2	ER1	ER1		
B 1	Few times per month	≥ 10 < 100	B 2	Few times per year	≥ 1 < 10	ER4	ER3	ER2	ER1
C 1	Few times per $\geq 1 < 10$		C 2	Few times per 10 years	≥ 10 ⁻¹ < 1	ER4	ER3	ER2	ER2
D 1	Few times per 10 years $\geq 10^{-1} < 1$		D 2	Once since operation	$\geq 10^{-2} < 10^{-1}$	ER4	ER3	ER3	ER2
E 1	Once since operation $\geq 10^{-2} < 10^{-1}$		E 2	Unlikely to occur	≥ 10 ⁻³ < 10 ⁻²	ER4	ER4	ER3	ER2
F 1	Unlikely to occur < 10 ⁻²		F 2	Very unlikely to occur < 10 ⁻³		ER4	ER4	ER4	ER3



Outsourcing Risk Management

Outsourcing Risk Categories

- Health, safety & environment
- Business disruption
- Business viability
- Project complexity
- Cost Overrun
- Programme Delay
- Political / Public / Media Pressure
- Technical / Construction Difficulty
- Meeting Customer Expectation
- Recovery / Crisis Management



Outsourcing Risk Management

		SEVERITY								
			L	М	Н					
		Health, Safety and Environment	Nil	?Result in a R3/R4 hazard ¹ ?Affect the operating safety in general ?Affect the operation of Safety Critical Item ²	?Result in a R1/R2 hazard ¹ ?Breach of statutory requirements e.g. O&SH regulations ?Affect the operation of Safety Critical System					
		Business Disruption	Service Disruption in Station: 20~60 min Line: \leq 20 min	Service Disruption in Station: few hours Line: $\leq 20 \sim 60$ min	Service Disruption in Station: > 1 day Line: few hours					
		Project / Business Viability ¹	Nil effect, impact limited to a single area of business	Some losses, impact to multiple areas of business	Significant losses, impact to widespread areas of the business					
		Project Complexity	Nil / Manageable	Some challenges	Significant challenges					
		Cost Overrun	Minor variation	Some variation	Significant variation					
		Programme Delay	Nil / Manageable	Some delay	Significant delay					
		Political / Public / Media Pressure	Nil / Manageable	Some	Frequent					
		Technical / Construction Difficulty	Easy	Difficult	Very difficult					
		Meeting Customer Expectation	Yes	Partially	No					
		Recovery / Crisis Management	Nil / Manageable	Some challenges	Significant challenge					
LIKELIHOOD										
Likely H			M2	M1	M1					
Unlikely	М		M3	M2	M1					
Very Unlikely L			M4	M3	M2					



Outsourcing Risk Management

Outsourcing Risk Register

SE8-(E8-C Risk Register for Outsourced Maintenance / Service Contracts (SAMPLE TEMPLATE)										CONFID	ENTIAL	
No.	Risk Area	Possible Causes	Effect/ Consequences	Espected Scenarios & Impaction Operation (Optional)	Likeli- hood	Seiverity (Type)	Original Risk	Safeguards	Likeli- hood	Severity (Type)	Residual Risk	Action Owner	Action Status
1. Health,	I. Health, Safety & Environment												
	Establis ime itof Salety Management System	- Undear lazard owners inpland transfer process - Hazard kienthtoatton, evaluatton, control and review are notproperly carried ont	 Hazards are hotproperly identified and controlled Increase operational risk Potential higher cost of remedies 	 Increase the corporational risks to the corporation Coston risk control is much ligher 	м	м	M2	 Specify in the orthornal geometric thatContractors is onkit follow the Corporate procedures on safety management Inplement a comprehensive hazard identification and control process Implement safegrands Conthin contry monitor the effective ness of risk mitigation measures. MTR to carry ortregular and its on contractor's process on hazard identification, assessment, control and monitoring. 	L	L	Μ4	Contractor?	o utstandlig or C losed?
2. Busine	State Disruption						_						



Enterprise Risk Management

Primary functions of ERM

- To provide a clear view of principal enterprise risks
- To ensure effective enterprise-wide management of risks
- To develop a sustainable and auditable risk management process
- To ensure consistent approach to risk management in all areas
- To develop a systematic and enterprise-wide risk management framework



Thank you



