

Risk-Informed Regulation and Applications in Taiwan

Tsu-Mu Kao

Deputy Director, Nuclear Engineering Division Institute of Nuclear Energy Research (INER), Taiwan

2005 Asia Pacific Conference on Risk Management and Safety Kowloon Shangri-La Hotel , Hong Kong

December 2, 2005





Outline

- I · Introduction
- II Development of the Risk Engine
- III Development of Risk Monitor
- IV ${\color{black}{\cdot}}$ Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE
- V Development of Risk-Informed Fire Analysis and ISI





I Introduction (1 of 4)

- The Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) group of INER has developed and maintains the PRA models of all the nuclear power plants (NPPs) for Taiwan Power Company (TPC) over 20 years since PRA was first introduced to Taiwan's NPPs
- These PRA models cover internal and external events, power operation and shutdown mode, with LERF (Large Early Release Frequency) calculation modules
- The PRA group has also completed a dedicated risk monitor with indigenous model solver engines, which are now adopted by the domestic three NPPs to monitor daily operation risks





3

I Introduction (2 of 4)

- The second generation of the risk monitor, TIRM-2 (Taipower Integrated Risk Monitor-2) with calculation capabilities of LERF has been developed successfully in Taiwan
- A window-based tool with the Significance Determination Process (SDP) context to help the resident inspectors of Taiwan's nuclear regulatory body to perform the Phase 2 SDP assessment of the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP) has also been completed
- Recently, the approval of on-line maintenance of Residual Heat Removal (RHR) systems and acceptance of PRA peer review reports on all of the three Taiwan's operating NPPs have created a basis of risk-informed applications in Taiwan



I Introduction (3 of 4)

- Since 2003, the Minister of Taiwan's Atomic Energy Council, Dr. Min-Shen Ouyang has been reiterating that AEC's overall goals in regulating Taipower's six operating LWRs are threefold: "Safety First, Deregulation, and Administrative Simplification."
- The second and third points are new policy, and are an indication that things are moving in a positive direction. Taiwan's regulatory body is working with Taipower management to "gradually introduce" riskinformed practices into Taiwan



I Introduction (4 of 4)



"The Nuclear Triangle" in Taiwan



INER

II • Development of the Risk Engine (1 of 2)

- The development of Taiwan's risk engine has paved a successful path for providing the helpful tools for Risk-Informed Regulation/Applications
- On the need of both AEC and Taipower, INER has successfully developed an integrated PRA module for various fields of applications
- The module includes a super risk engine, the INERISKEN (INER Risk Engine) to solve PRA model within one minute, an advanced PRA model (or usually defined as risk model in risk monitor) in the form of top-logic fault tree



II • Development of the Risk Engine (2 of 2)

- The results showed that INERISKEN has excellent performance and can be used in any applications
- INERFT (INER Fault Tree) is purely a fault tree engine developed to quickly solve a fault tree. It is a 32-bit application written in ANSI C language under PC Windows's operating system
- In addition to the nuclear industry, INERFT is also applied to other non-nuclear industry in Taiwan



III • Development of Risk Monitor (1 of 6)

- On the basis of the accomplished living PRA models on all of the three Taiwan's NPPs, INER and TPC have collaboratively developed a risk monitor, the Taipower Integrated Risk Monitor (TIRM), for each NPP
- Due to the TIRM's robust function and its successful development, since June of 2001, Taiwan's nuclear regulatory body has requested that each NPP evaluate shutdown risk before TPC performs refuelling outages and calculate the associated risk profile daily by the TIRM



III • Development of Risk Monitor (2 of 6)

- For further risk-informed applications, only Core Damage Frequency (CDF) index in the TIRM is not sufficient
- A new risk engine, the INERISKEN, developed by INER was incorporated into the TIRM-2
- By introducing the new powerful risk model solver INERISKEN, the TIRM-2 is designed to have more capabilities and to run faster than TIRM does



III • Development of Risk Monitor (3 of 6)

- The TIRM–2 on power and on refuelling outages has been released to all of the three operating NPPs for their usage
- With the capability of performing CDF and LERF calculations, the TIRM-2 becomes a very helpful tool in monitoring the risk of different plant states and provides further information directly for risk-informed applications



III • Development of Risk Monitor (4 of 6)

- TIRM-2 can be used to track CDF, LERF, \triangle CDF, and \triangle LERF during power operations, on-line maintenance, and refuelling outages
- TIRM-2 can provide useful information regarding the status of safety systems, the risk profile over the last 24 hours, and the usual importance measures



III • Development of Risk Monitor (5 of 6)



Risk Profiles with CDF and LERF at Power Operation in TIRM-2



III • Development of Risk Monitor (6 of 6)



Risk Profiles during Refueling Outage in TIRM-2



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (1 of 13)

- $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ RA Model Based $\underline{\mathbf{Ri}}$ sk $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ ignificance $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ valuation
- A computer tool to evaluate risk significance of inspection findings under the request from AEC
- Risk significance is determined by Δ CDF and indicated by four different color codes (GWYR)
- Risk-informed and efficient process to evaluate inspection findings
- Provide bases of decision making for inspectors



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (2 of 13)

- Taiwan AEC decides to adopt a new approach, so called "Green/Red Lights" scheme, for nuclear safety oversight starting from January of year 2006
- The "Green/Red Lights"-scheme approach is inspired from the revised Reactor Oversight Process (ROP) of the USNRC
- Under this scheme, the performance indicators are provided by the utility and inspection findings of the resident inspectors provide the inputs to the regulatory action considerations



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (3 of 13)



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (4 of 13)

- Tabled SDP developed by the USNRC (IMC 0609)
- For internal events of power operation (App. A)
 - Phase 1: screen out insignificant findings (Inspector)
 - Phase 2: determine risk significance (Inspector)
 - Phase 3: more rigorous assessment (SRA)
- Perform phase 1, 2 and part of phase 3 SDP assessment
- Provide process to screen out insignificant finding



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (5 of 13)

- Obtain \triangle CDF by resolving living PRA model
- Allow inspectors to define plant deficiencies by
 - Safety systems/components are unavailable or degraded
 - Change of initiating event frequencies
- Simplified P&IDs to indicate SSCs modeled in PRA
- Provide guidance for IE frequency changes
- Use four different color codes to define risk significance
- Provide additional risk information
 - MCSs and important measures (F-V, RAW, RRW)



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (6 of 13)





IV Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (7 of 13) Safety-Related System List

R= perating Status Initiating Event	Component Summary of Change	Exit	
ront Line System ▼ RCIC ■ HPCS ■ ADS □ LPCS RHR ○ RHR System ○ Train □ RHR-A □ RHR-B □ RHR-C	Support System COND CSTXR SGTS FIRE WATER ECW CECW System Train ECW-A ECW-B ECW-C EChW CEChW System Train EChW-A EChW-B	Power Supply 345KV D/G I 69KV D/G II BUS A5 D/G 5 A3 C Bus A3 C Bus A3 C C C C3A C C3B C C3C C C3D A4 C Bus A4 C Bus A4 C AB C C4C C C4D	P&ID Front Line System RCIC LPCS P& LPCI RHR S/D Cooling RHR S/D Cooling RHR S/P Cooling RHR CTMT Spray SBLC Support System ECW EChW-A EChW-B Condensate CST Transfer SGTS
Status System Remark	Confirm 0	Core Isolation Cooling System (RCIC) Unavailable System Unava Rate Increase by System Deg Change&Quit Not Selected&Quit	E WATER upply Bus & D/G I Bus & D/G II Bus & D/G II 5



NER

IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (8 of 13)

Perating Status	Initiating Event	Component	Summary of	Change		≤A Exit					
itiating Event —							- Previos Cases-				
Description				Original	Modified	Times of Increase		1		1	
IE : LARGE	LOCA			3.00E-05			Ottote Cases Mo	dified Cases	Delete Case	is l	
IE : BYPASS	LOCA			1.70E-07							
IE : RPV RU	PTURE			2.70E-07			Quote Cases -				
IE : INTERM	EDIATE LOCA			4.00E-05			Title :				
IE : SMALL L	LOCA			3.83E-03							
IE : MAIN CO	NDENSER ISOLAT	ION TRANSIENT		2.15E-01			Create Name :	Administr	ator		
IE : MSIVS (CLOSED TRANSIEN	IT		3.06E-02			Create Time :	2005/0/21	08:66:60		
IE : MAIN ST	EAM NOT ISOLATIO	N TRANSIENT		1.35E+00			croate rante	2003/3/21	00.33.33		
IE : LOSS O	F OFFSITE POWER			3.15E-02	3.15E-01	10	Description :				
IE : INADVE	RTENT OPEN OF O	NE S/RV (IORV)		4.68E-02			Description				
IE : LOSS-O	F-FEEDWATER			6.10E-02							
IE : LOSS O	F 480V MCC 1C4C			2.01E-04							
IE : LOSS O	F COMPRESSED AI	R		2.20E-04							
IE : LOSS O	F DC BUS 1RDC			6.70E-04							
IE : LOSS O	F DC BUS 1GDD			6.70E-04							
IE : VLOCA	AT LPCI INJECTION	LINE A		4.28E-08							
IE : VLOCA	AT LPCI INJECTION	LINE B		4.28E-08							
IE : VLOCA)	AT LPCI INJECTION	LINE C		3.29E-06							
IE : VLOCA	AT RHR S/D COOLIN	NG SUCTION		1.52E-07							
IE : VLOCA	AT RHR HEAD SPR/	AY INJECTION		3.29E-06							
IE : VLOCA	AT RHR S/D COOLIN	NG INJECTION LI	NE A (FW A)	7.66E-06		:		1		1.1	
IE : VLOCA	AT RHR S/D COOLIN	NG INJECTION LI	NE B (FW B	7.66E-06		ist of cr	nanges	IN II	nitia	itino	
IE : VLOCA	AT LPCS INJECTION	1		3.29E-06	_				~ ~ 6	5	
IE : VLOCA I	NDUCED LARGE L	OCA OUTSIDE C	TMT	9.23E-09	E	vent tre	equenci	es a	is ar	rect	ea
IE : VLOCAI	NDUCED LARGE L	OCA INSIDE CTM	IT	9.44E-10							
					D	y the fil		I			



IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (9 of 13)

CHECK VALVE E51-F040 FAILS TO OPEN

BREAKER VALVE E51-F079 FAILS TO OPEN

BREAKER VALVE E51-F081 FAILS TO OPEN

CHECK VALVE E51-F040 FAILS TO REOPEN

CHECK VALVE E51-F065 FAILS TO REOPEN

nponent				
Perating Status Initiating Event Component Summary of Chang	e Exit			
mponent : Check Valve	All Modified change to :		Confirm	
System : Reactor Core Isolation System	Times of Increase ? Times :	10	Confirm	
Componen Fire Protection System		1		
Desci Low Pressure Core Spray		Original	Modified	Times of Increase
CHEd Nuclear Boiler System		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHEC Reactor Core Isolation System		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHEOStand-By Liquid Control System		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
BREA Standby Gas Treatment System	*	2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
BREAKER VALVE E51-F081 FAILS TO OPEN		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHECK VALVE E51-F040 FAILS TO REOPEN		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHECK VALVE E51-F065 FAILS TO REOPEN		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHECK VALVE E51-F065 FAILS TO OPEN		1.25E-04		
CHECK VALVE E51-F066 FAILS TO OPEN		1.25E-04	1	
earch component by type nd system		Refre	sh Prohahilities	Clear All Chan
Status		Reires	in Frobabilities	
Description		Original	Modified	Times of Increase
CHECK VALVE E51-F011 FAILS TO OPEN		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10
CHECK VALVE E51-E030 FAILS TO OPEN		2.92E-04	2.92E-03	10



2.92E-03

2.92E-03

2.92E-03

2.92E-03

2.92E-03

2.92E-04

2.92E-04

2.92E-04

2.92E-04

2.92E-04

10

10

10

10

10

22

IV Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (10 of 13) Display of SSCs modeled in PRA

Component modeled in PRA shown in color



Reactor Core Isolation Cooling System (RCIC)



INER

IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (11 of 13) Final List of Changes & IE change guidance (new feature)

IE affect by deficiency were shown in Red color

<u>第列表</u> 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王	□ (主選單) \$	<u>原始頻率</u> OCA) 6.230E-03 0 6.730E-02	更新後倍數 第 6.23E-02 1.005+00	<u>會加倍數</u> 10	LOSS O
tus of Safety System		itiatin	g Eve	ents	 LOSS O
人双線拳要更 デ件名類及生物模式		原始失动操家	修改後生物禅家	慢加倍量	
2.5.1 日本(2.5.5.5.2.2) 空氣驅動閥AL-HV-113無法關閉		3.130E-04	3.13E-03	10	
≧氣驅動闕AL-HV-213無法關閉		3.130E-04	3.13E-03	10	
2氣驅動闕AL-HV-114無法關閉		5.150E-04	5.15E-03	10	
2氣驅動闘AL-HV-214無法關閉		5.150E-04	5.15E-03	10	
E氣驅動闕AL-HV-115無法關閉		5.150E-04	5.15E-03	10	
2氣驅動闕AL-HV-215無法關閉		5.150E-04	5.15E-03	10	
					LOSS O
Status o	of Componen	ts			





ÍNER

IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (12 of 13) Significance Determination Results





INER

IV • Development of the SDP Tool, PRiSE (13 of 13)

- Three versions (BWR-4, BWR-6, PWR) of PRiSE were first released to inspectors for trial use in the beginning of 2005
- PRiSE 1.01 was released in November 2005 with responses to user's comments and new feature
- Current version includes internal events for power operation only
- INER plans to add new feature for containment integrity assessment (LERF)





- Due to the unavailability of qualified fire wrap material for BWR-4 cable trays, plant people found that it was very difficult to meet the current requirements of Appendix R
- Use of the advanced PRA technology and associated code allows the Taiwan AEC/TPC staff to perform risk-informed fire analysis, post-fire safety shutdown function analysis, and assessment of cable tray fire wrap, to serve as the technical basis for Appendix R exemption requests



V Development of Risk-Informed Fire Analysis and ISI (2 of 3)

Case	Cable Tray Wrapped		CD	F Delta	LEAP	Delta: A	1.11			
APP. R (ham	Tras A		2.438-0	σ	2.578-00	1.100	0	oalitetive		
Derest State	Na sylepping		14184	4 3:195-06	3.048-07	2,808-02	Analyzia		Se in	
HIVA Option 1	FM Opeon 1 Chief that and combat CV11		1,2954	0 4 842-01	\$78846	3125-69	/sunjine			
No excepting, but with other improvements for cable tray and conduct COM							ayout for MPBRN			
UFA Opson 2	 a. Entropyones fire detection and suppression (< 1 min.) b. Free watching currents and alarm for maniforing hot opers of degraded cubics 			0 2 888-07	63E-07 6 74E-91		Exit			
•	And the second s		-	all wall	11	Ŀ				
Set-Scenario	Target	Turget	2	fere Taylandang	Proquincy	$\mathbf{FG}_{t^{(2)}}$	$P\Sigma, i$	7364	1.00	
▶ 40-C3	Train D Cable Tray D006	Train D Cable Trag.	Dexe.	<u>, 1</u>	315-15	1.0117-00	3.002-02	1.002-02	100	
0.14	Trust A Cable Truy CW01	Tota A Cable Tray	CWILL	1	41845	1.008400	2548-08	1.05-02		
40-6.5	Nese	Nane			1225.0	12.00		255355		
494.3-1	Name	Rane		4	2012-15	1.0010-001	1.000408	6.000400		
41-65-2	Nept	Bug			716/15	1.018500	1.002400	1.05+08	122	
41-6.5-3	Since	Nune			848-15	1.042+00	1.002+00	1.002+08		
404.54	Hase	Hase		4	745-16	1007-001	1.002400	1.005400		
414.5-5	Next	Nate		1 - 10	438-15	1.005400	3.002400	1.008400		
41-65-6	Nane	Nuce		1.1	4315-45	1.0022-00	1.002 ± 00	1.802408		
0.657	Neie	Bine			276-17	1.018400	1.007408	1.005400		
41-65-1	Rese	Note		1.1	416-17	1.00.5±001	1.005400	1.002408		
41-6.6	Nate	Rana								
40.64	Sept	Mage		1 - 21	51E-15	1.015500	1.002+00	1.105+01		
40-6.6-2	Siene	Nate			515-15	1.0022000	1.002400	1.002±00	35	
41-6.6-1	Hour	None		1.1.1	36245	1.0112-00	1.002400	1.002401		
414.64	Same	N max		81	39E-15	1.00.5400	1,005400	1.002+08	1	
and the second se	Sec. 2.	2010/01		1	Calendary 1.	1.000	100 C 100 C 100 C	The second second	6 (S.)	



Display of Wrap Options from RIFA

NER

V Development of Risk-Informed Fire Analysis and ISI (3 of 3)

- INER has also established the methodology for the evaluation and development of a pilot, plantspecific RI-ISI program for a BWR-6 plant in Taiwan
- The number of inspection elements selected in the RI-ISI evaluation has been decreased to only 50, representing a reduction of up to 56% in comparison with 113 inspections in the current ASME inspection program
- Extend containment ILRT interval from 3 times per 10 years to once per 10 years



JER



- A powerful risk monitor, TIRM-2, has replaced TIRM to provide the basis of risk-informed applications in Taiwan
- With the capability of performing CDF and LERF calculations, the TIRM-2 becomes a very helpful tool in monitoring the risk of different plant states and provides further information directly for risk-informed applications
- The PRiSE has replaced the tables that the USNRC has developed for performing Phases 1 and 2 (and part of Phase 3) of the SDP





VI Conclusions (2 of 2)

- The view and usage of these tables are complicated and time-consumed; so that the PRiSE has provided an alternative tool for Taiwan's resident inspectors to easily solve the PRA model in less than a minute
- With these credible assessment tools and other subsequent proposed cases of risk-informed applications, a new era of risk-informed regulation and applications has been initiated in Taiwan's nuclear society

