## Level I & Level 2 Internal Events PSA Accident Sequence Quantification

S. Sawh, M. Wei, L. Comanescu, G. Banaseanu A. Petrescu, A. Nainer, M. Masroor, R. K. Jaitly AECL

> A. Jean, D. Basque, D. Mullin NB Power

> > May 22, 2008







## **Point Lepreau Generating Station**



- Commercial operation in 1983
- Proven to be an economic and environmentally sound source of electricity generation provides 1/3 of power consumed in New Brunswick
- Station continues to perform well, but key reactor components are nearing the point in time in which they will need to be replaced.
- Refurbishment Plan: Currently in an 18-month outage
  - Life extension by 25 to 30 years

## **PLGS PSA**

- Partnership between Atomic Energy of Canada Limited and New Brunswick Power
  - NB Power provided most reliability models and access to site data.
  - Team effort
    - Level 1 ASQ through to Level 2 ASQ.
    - Currently final Summary Report
  - Both attend meetings with regulator



# Level 1 and 2 PSA Goals and Limits

- Severe Core Damage Frequency (SCDF) from Internal and External Events:
  - Limit: 1E-04 events/year
  - Goal: 1E-05 events/year
- Large Release Frequency (LRF) from Internal and External Events:
  - Limit: 1E-05 events/year
  - Goal: 1E-06 events/year
- Seismic Margin corresponding to a High Confidence Low Probability of Failure (HCLPF)
  - 0.3g for Severe Core Damage
  - 0.4g for Large Releases

# Level 1 Internal Events

- Accident Sequence Quantification (ASQ) performed to evaluate the SCDF
  - Initiating Events (IE) selected
  - Fault Trees (FT) created for mitigating systems and support systems
  - Detailed Event Trees (ET) created
  - Quantify sequences
  - Apply Recoveries



# Level 1 Initiating Events

#### Frequency was derived based on:

- Statistical calculation based on site specific data and CANDU operating experience data
- Pipe failure rate calculations
- Fault tree analysis

#### 82 Initiating Events were created.



# **Level 1 Fault Trees**

#### Data

- Site specific data
- External generic data combined with site data using Bayesian combination
- Common Cause Failure (CCF) analysis using the Unified Partial Method
- Human Reliability Analysis (HRA) using ASEP

#### **Master Fault Tree**

- Over 600 tops
- Database of over 30 000 basic events



## Level 1 Event Trees

# 67 were selected for ASQ as they directly challenged core integrity

Termination of Level 1 accident sequences are classified as plant damage states (PDS) Eleven different PDS

- Severe core damage
- Widespread fuel damage
- Limited fuel damage with economic consequences
- No fuel damage but economic consequences



## Recovery

Obtain final results that provides a realistic estimate of SCDF

- Dependency between operator actions accounted for using SPAR-H
- Cutsets reviewed for conservatism
  - Recovery applied at cutset level to dominant contributors
  - Iterative process
- Examples:
  - Dominant CCF events recalculated using alpha CCF methodology
  - Dominant human actions recalculated using THERP



# **Computer Codes**

#### **CAFTA 5.3**

– Primary Interface for FT, ET and Cutsets

#### PRAQuant 4.0a

- Interface for sequence quantification

## FORTE and FTREX

- Quantifiers

#### QRecover

- Application of Recovery Actions



## Level 1 Results

#### SCDF Full Power Operation = 1.66E-05 events/yr





#### Level 1 Results SCDF Shutdown State = 9.28E-06 events/yr





# Level 2 - Internal Events

- Dominant SCD sequences from Level 1 Internal Events progress to Level 2 analysis
- Sequences are grouped into 5 representative SCD accidents for severe accident progression:
  - Full Power Sequences
    - In-core LOCA
    - Small LOCA
    - Station Blackout
    - Containment Bypass
  - Shutdown State
  - Containment Pressure Capacity Determined



# **Severe Accident Progression**

- MAAP4-CANDU Version 4.0.5A+ analyses performed to estimate:
  - Challenges to containment
  - Accident timing and progression
  - Hydrogen and Carbon Monoxide concentrations
  - Fission products transport and releases
  - Effectiveness of the operator in mitigating severe accident consequences



- Results of MAAP analyses are the basis for containment event trees (CETs)
- To delay or stop core damage progression, the main functions of the CETs are:
  - Isolate containment
  - Control containment pressure
  - Control hydrogen/carbon monoxide
- Termination of Level 2 accident sequences are classified as one of the external plant release categories (EPRC)

## **Level 2 Inputs**

## 187 Level 1 sequences chosen for analysis in Level 2

- Represent top 99.8% SCDF Sequences
- Containment Bypass events
- Level 2 is directly Linked with Level 1

**Grouped for Level 2** 

- Similar Plant Configuration
- Status of Mitigating Systems
- Impact on Containment System availability
- Containment status

## Calandria Vault Makeup and Emergency Venting System

- The new seismically robust system will provide make-up to the calandria vault before calandria vault failure
- Last resort to prevent calandria vessel failure and prevent Molten Core Concrete Interaction and therefore reduce H<sub>2</sub> production during severe accidents
- Used in conjunction with filtered emergency venting system which controls the containment pressure by discharging steam and preventing the airlock penetration failure



## **Level 2 Results**

#### LRF Full Power Operation = 1.00E-07 events/year





## Level 2 Results

#### LRF Shutdown State = 2.64E-07 events/year





## Internal Events Results Summary

#### **Severe Core Damage Frequency**

Full Power Operation = 1.66E-05 events/year Shutdown State = 9.28E-06 events/year

#### Large Release Frequency

Full Power Operation = 1.00E-07 events/year Shutdown State = 2.64E-07 events/year



